RESOLUTION NO. 70,204-N.S.

ADOPTION OF THE STREET REHABILITATION AND MAINTENANCE POLICY AND FIVE-YEAR STREET REHABILITATION PLAN FOR FY 2023 TO FY 2025

WHEREAS, the Street Rehabilitation Policy, Resolution No. 55,384-N.S. approved on May 22, 1990, requires a Five-Year Street Rehabilitation Plan for the entire City be adopted by the City Council; and

WHEREAS, the Five-Year Street Rehabilitation Plan and the Street Maintenance and Rehabilitation Policy shall be reviewed and updated by the City Council, with advice from the Public Works Commission; and

WHEREAS, the Public Works Commission reviewed and advised on both the *Five-Year Street Rehabilitation Plan* and update to the *Street Maintenance and Rehabilitation Policy*; and

WHEREAS, Public Works recommends City Council adopt both the updated *Street Maintenance and Rehabilitation Policy* and *Five-Year Street Rehabilitation Plan, Equity Alternative* for FY 2023 to FY 2027.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Berkeley that FY 2023, FY 2024, and FY 2025 of the *Five-Year Street Rehabilitation Plan*, attached as Exhibit A hereof, is hereby adopted, as is the *Street Maintenance and Rehabilitation Policy*, attached as Exhibit B, with the clarification that the criteria in this *Policy and Plan* will not govern the allocation of funds from potential future ballot measures.

Exhibit A: Five-Year Street Rehabilitation Plan for FY 2023 to FY 2027, Equity Alternative Exhibit B: Street Maintenance and Rehabilitation Policy

The foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Berkeley City Council on January 25, 2022 by the following vote:

Ayes:

Bartlett, Droste, Hahn, Harrison, Kesarwani, Robinson, Taplin, Wengraf,

and Arreguin.

Noes:

None.

Absent:

None.

Jesse Arreguin, Mayor

Leve Arregoria

Attest:

Mårk Numaihville. Citv Clerk

EXHIBIT A 5-YEAR STREET REHABILITATION PLAN FOR FY 2023 TO FY 2027, EQUITY ALTERNATIVE

Fiscal Year	Street Name	From	То	Class	Treatment (from StreetSaver)		ated Total Cost	District	Equity Zone	Р	Mileage	Current PCI	Last M&R Date	Last Paved
2023	BANCROFT WAY	SHATTUCK AVE	FULTON ST	С	Heavy Rehab	\$	341,126	4	N	4*, C	0.09	41	8/7/1997	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	BANCROFT WAY	MILVIA WAY	SHATTUCK AVE	С	Heavy Rehab	\$	418,348	4	N	4*	0.13	34	12/1/1989	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	BANCROFT WAY	TELEGRAPH AVE	BOWDITCH ST	С	Heavy Mtce	\$	133,325	7	N	4*, C	0 13	63	12/1/1990	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	BANCROFT WAY	BOWDITCH ST	COLLEGE AVE	С	Heavy Mtce	\$	161,036	7	N	3C*, C	0.13	56	12/1/1990	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	BANCROFT WAY	COLLEGE AVE	PIEDMONT AVE	С	Heavy Rehab	\$	254,076	7	N	3Ç*, C	0.13	28	12/1/1990	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	CHANNING WAY	ROOSEVELT AVE	MCKINLEY AVE	R	Reconstruct	\$	445,230	4	N	3E	0.13	1 _	9/1/1991	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	CHANNING WAY	SACRAMENTO ST	ROOSEVELT AVE	R	Heavy Rehab	\$	926,780	4	N	3E	0.31	22	9/1/1991	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	DANA ST	BANCROFT WAY	DWIGHT WAY	R	Heavy Rehab	\$	458,900	7	N	2A to 2B*, C	0.25	45	12/1/1989	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	DANA ST	DWIGHT WAY	BLAKE ST	R	Light Rehab	\$	91,440	7	N	3E	0.06	44	12/1/1989	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	DANA ST	BLAKE ST	WARD ST	R	Light Rehab	\$	466,580	7	N	3E*	0.25	65	7/30/2008	RECONSTRUCT STRUCTURE (AC)
2023	DURANT AVE	MILVIA ST	SHATTUCK AVE	С	Reconstruct	\$	693,355	4	N	N	0.13	11	11/1/1992	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	DURANT AVE	SHATTUCK AVE	FULTON ST	С	Heavy Rehab	\$	268,880	4	N	С	0.10	32	8/12/1997	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	TELEGRAPH AVE	BANCROFT WAY	DWIGHT WAY	C	Heavy Rehab	\$	473,060	7	N	3C*, C, VZ	0.25	39	7/1/1988	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	HOPKINS ST	GILMAN ST	SACRAMENTO ST	R	Heavy Rehab	\$	233,942	5	N	3A, C	0.10	32	9/13/2002	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	HOPKINS ST	HOPKINS CT	MONTEREY AVE	С	Light Rehab	\$	87,193	5	N	3A, C, VZ	0.05	59	9/13/2002	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	HOPKINS ST	MONTEREY AVE	MC GEE AVE	С	Heavy Rehab	\$	119,167	5	N	2A, C	0 05	47	12/1/1989	RECONSTRUCT STRUCTURE (AC)
2023	HOPKINS ST	MC GEE AVE	CARLOTTA AVE	C	Heavy Rehab	\$	149,680	5	N	2A, C	0.06	45	12/1/1989	RECONSTRUCT STRUCTURE (AC)
2023	HOPKINS ST	CARLOTTA AVE	JOSEPHINE ST	С	Heavy Rehab	\$	874,580	5	N	2A, C	0,35	50	12/1/1989	MILL AND OVERLAY
2023	HOPKINS ST	JOSEPHINE ST	THE ALAMEDA	С	Heavy Rehab	\$	216,700	5	N	4*, C	0 06	49	7/1/1991	RECONSTRUCT STRUCTURE
2023	HOPKINS ST	THE ALAMEDA	SUTTER ST	С	Heavy Rehab	\$	876,500	5	N	4*	0.26	30	7/1/1991	MILL AND THICK OVERLAY
2023	HOPKINS ST	NORTHSIDE AVE	PERALTA AVE	R	Light Mtce	\$	239,587	1	N	N	0 10	78	9/13/2002	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	HOPKINS ST	PERALTA AVE	GILMAN ST	R	Heavy Mtce	\$	493,031	15	N	Z	0 27	58	9/13/2002	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	HOPKINS ST	SACRAMENTO ST	HOPKINS CT	Α	Heavy Rehab	\$	101,755	5	N	3A, C, VZ	0.04	38	9/13/2002	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	HOPKINS ST	SAN PABLO AVE	STANNAGE AVE	R	Light Mtce	\$	37,188	1	N	Z	0.09	74	9/13/2002	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	HOPKINS ST	STANNAGE AVE	NORTHSIDE AVE	R	Heavy Mtce	\$	181,658	1	N	N	0.17	69	9/13/2002	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2023	CONTINGENCY					\$	874,312							
	TOTAL FUNDING					\$ 9	9,617,428				3.70			
							57%	bike/ped						
	Ī						62%	bike/ped	not incl conting	gency				

^{\$ 2,342,125} additional funding from T1

FISCAL YEAR 2023 TOTALS

Total Estimated Cost and Mi	les				\$9,617,428			3.70	miles
	Mileage	Estimated Cost %	4 Cost	% Mileage	District	Cost	<u>Miles</u>	Cost w/o Arterials	Miles w/o Arterials
Arterials	0.04	\$101,755	1%	76 Willeage 1%	<u>District</u>	\$704,948	0.51	\$704,948	0.51
Collectors	1.93	\$5,067,026	58%	52%	2	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
Residentials					3				
Residentials	1.74	\$3,574,336	41%	47%	3	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
					4	\$3,093,719	0.90	\$3,093,719	0.90
Bikeways	2.08	\$5,457,408	62%	56%	5	\$2,906,033	1.11	\$2,804,278	1.07
Curb Ramps		\$276,000	3%		6	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
Total		\$5,733,408	66%		7	\$2,038,417	1.19	\$2,038,417	1 19
					8	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
Equity Zone	0.00	\$0	0%	0%		\$8,743,117	3.70	\$8,641,362	3.67
Equity Zone w/Arterials	0.00	\$0	0%	0%					

Note. Column P denotes presence of blke facility type (1 paved path, 2A 2B bike lane, 3A sign-only, 3C Sharrows, 3E bike blvd, 4 cycle track), C for bus route; VZ for Vision Zero; and N for none.

EXHIBIT A 5-YEAR STREET REHABILITATION PLAN FOR FY 2023 TO FY 2027

Fiscal Year	Street Name	From	То	Class	Treatment (from StreetSaver)	Updated Total Cost	District	Equity Zone	Р	Mileage	Current PCI	Last M&R	Last Paved
2024	CRESTON RD	SUNSET LANE	GRIZZLY PEAK BLVD	R	Heavy Mtce	\$ 116.258	6	N	N	0,36	64	11/1/1988	RECONSTRUCT SURFACE (AC)
2024	DERBY ST	TELEGRAPH AVE	HILLEGASS AVE	R	Reconstruct	\$ 621,503		N	3E	0.16		8/8/1997	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2024	DERBY ST	HILLEGASS AVE	COLLEGE AVE	R	Reconstruct	\$ 577,560		N	3Ē*	0.14	25	8/8/1997	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2024*	DWIGHT WAY	HILLSIDE AVE	DEAD END ABOVE	R	Reconstruct	\$ 387,040	-	N	N	0.11	22	9/1/1993	RECONSTRUCT SURFACE (AC)
2024*	DWIGHT WAY	PIEDMONT AVE	HILLSIDE AVE	R	Reconstruct	\$ 501,840		N	N	0.14	12	9/1/1993	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2024	GRIZZLY PEAK BLVD	NORTH CITY	EUCLID AVE	С	Reconstruct			N	3C	0.20	24	11/1/1990	MILL AND THICK OVERLAY
2024_	GRIZZLY PEAK BLVD	EUCLID AVE	KEELER AVE	С	Reconstruct	\$ 634,478		N	3E, C	0.21	13	11/1/1990	MILL AND THICK OVERLAY
2024_	GRIZZLY PEAK BLVD	KEELER AVE	MARIN AVE	С	Reconstruct	\$ 859,622	6	N	3C*, C	0.27	19	10/1/1992	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2024	HILLEGASS AVE	ASHBY AVE	CITY LIMIT (WOOLSEY	R	Light Mtce	\$ 76,400	8	N	3E	0.16	76	7/28/2003	RECONSTRUCT STRUCTURE (AC)
2024	HILLEGASS AVE	DWIGHT WAY	ASHBY AVE	R	Light Mtce	\$ 334,500	8	N	3E	0.13	78	5/31/2000	RECONSTRUCT STRUCTURE (AC)
2024	LATHAM LANE	MILLER AVE	GRIZZLY PEAK	R	Heavy Mtce	\$ 38,500		N	N	0 10	59	6/1/1994	RECONSTRUCT STRUCTURE (AC)
2024	MC GEE AVE	DERBY ST	RUSSELL ST	R	Light Rehab	\$ 551,992	3	Y	N	0.25	59	12/10/1998	RECONSTRUCT STRUCTURE (AC)
2024	MC GEE AVE	DWIGHT WAY	DERBY ST	R	Light Rehab	\$ 374,400	3	Ÿ	N	0.26	51	7/1/1988	THIN OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2024	MILLER AVE	HILLDALE AVE	SHASTA RD	R	Light Rehab	\$ 449,880	6	N	N	0.66		6/1/1994	RECONSTRUCT STRUCTURE (AC)
2024	OTIS ST	RUSSELL ST	ASHBY AVE	R	Heavy Rehab		3	N	N	0.13		4/1/2001	RECONSTRUCT STRUCTURE (AC)
2024	ROSE ST	SACRAMENTO	MARTIN LUTHER KING	С	Reconstruct	\$ 2,302,332	15	N	3E	0.48		8/1/1991	MILL AND OVERLAY WIFABRIC
2024	WOOLSEY ST	HILLEGASS AVE	COLLEGE AVE	R	Reconstruct	\$ 434,534	8	N	3A	0.11		N/A	MILE AND OVEREAT WITABRIC
2024	CONTINGENCY					\$ 927,892		.,		 -			
	TOTAL FUNDING					\$ 10,206,815				4.38	 		
						32%	bike/ped				 		
						35%		not incl conting	iency				

^{*} in Fiscal Year column denotes coordination with EBMUD project

\$ 2,931,512 additional funding from T1

FISCAL YEAR 2024 TOTALS

Total Estimated Cost and Mi	les				\$10,206,815			4.38	miles
	Mileage	Estimated Cost 1	% Cost	% Mileage	District	Cost	<u>Mıles</u>	Cost w/o Arterials	Miles w/o
Arterials	0.00	\$0	0%	0%	1	\$1,151,166	0.24	\$1,151,166	<u>Arterials</u> 0.24
Collectors	1 16	\$4,590,516	49%	26%	2	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
Residentials	3.22	\$4,688,407	51%	74%	3	\$1,150,392	0.64	\$1,150,392	
					4	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
Bikeways	2.35	\$6,635,013	72%	54%	5	\$1,151,166	0.24	\$1,151,166	
Curb Ramps		\$378,000	4%		6	\$2,892,822	1 80	\$2,892,822	
Total		\$7,013,013	76%		7	\$250,920	0.07	\$250,920	
					8	\$2,682,457	1.37	\$2,682,457	1.37
Equity Zone	0.51	\$926,392	10%	12%		\$9,278,923	4.38	\$9,278,923	
Equity Zone w/Arterials	0 51	\$926,392	10%	12%					1.00

Note Column P denotes presence of bike facility type (1 paved path, 2A 2B bike lane, 3A sign-only, 3C Sharrows, 3E bike blvd, 4 cycle track), C for bus route; VZ for Vision Zero, and N for none.

EXHIBIT A 5-YEAR STREET REHABILITATION PLAN FOR FY 2023 TO FY 2027

Fiscal Year	Street Name	From	То	Class	Treatment (from StreetSaver)	Updated Total Cost	District	Equity Zone	P	Mileage	Current PCI	Last M&R Date	Last Paved
2025	ALLSTON WAY	MILVIA ST	SHATTUCK AVE	Ŕ	Heavy Rehab	\$ 228,800	4	N	N	0.14	37	11/1/1990	MILL AND THIN OVERLAY
2025	CURTIS ST	UNIVERSITY AVE	DWIGHT WAY	R	Reconstruct	\$ 2,009,440	2	Υ	N	0.57	9	8/18/1997	MILL AND THICK OVERLAY
2025	DERBY ST	SACRAMENTO ST	MARTIN LUTHER KING	R	Reconstruct	\$ 1,688,560	3	_ Y	3E	0.48	18	10/1/1992	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2025	DERBY ST	MARTIN LUTHER KING	MILVIA ST	R	Light Mtce	\$ 31,327	3	N	3E	0.13	86	10/1/1992	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2025	DERBY ST	MILVIA ST	SHATTUCK AVE	R	Reconstruct	\$ 490,456	3	N	3E	0.12	16	10/1/1992	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2025	DERBY ST	SHATTUCK AVE	FULTON ST	R	Reconstruct	\$ 478,200	3	N	3E	0.13	22	10/1/1992	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2025	DERBY ST	FULTON ST	TELEGRAPH AVE	R	Reconstruct	\$ 1,069,280	37	N	3E	0.31	13	10/1/1992	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2025	GILMAN ST	SAN PABLO AVE	SANTA FE AVE	Α	Heavy Rehab	\$ 683,116	1	N	4*, C	0 27	48	10/2007	MILL AND OVERLAY
2025	HEARST AVE	6TH ST	SAN PABLO AVE	С	Reconstruct	\$ 1,306,200	1	Y	N	0.31	25	10/1/1994	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
	CONTINGENCY					\$ 798,538							
	TOTAL FUNDING					\$ 8,783,917				2,45		-	
						51%	bike/ped					1	_
						56%	bike/ped	not incl continu	ency				

FISCAL YEAR 2025 TOTALS

\$ 1,510,414 additional funding from T1

Total Estimated Cost and Miles	5				\$8,783,917			2 45	miles
	Mileage	Estimated Cost 9	% Cost	% Mileage	<u>District</u>	Cost	Miles	Cost w/o <u>Arterials</u>	Miles w/o <u>Arterials</u>
Arterials	0.27	\$683,116	9%	11%	1	\$1,989,316	0.59	\$1,306,200	0.31
Collectors	0 31	\$1,306,200	16%	13%	2	\$2,009,440	0.57	\$2,009,440	0.57
Residentials	1.86	\$5,996,063	75%	76%	3	\$3,223,183 -	1.00	\$3,223,183	1 00
					4	\$228,800	0.14	\$228,800	0,14
Bikeways	1.43	\$4,440,939	56%	59%	5	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
Curb Ramps		\$228,000	3%		6	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
Total		\$4,668,939	58%		7	\$534,640	0.15	\$534,640	0.15
					8	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
Equity Zone	1 35	\$5,004,200	63%	55%		\$7,985,379	2.45	\$7,302,263	2.17
Equity Zone w/Arterials	1.35	\$5,004,200	63%	55%					

Note: Column P denotes presence of bike facility type (1 paved path, 2A 2B bike lane, 3A sign-only, 3C Sharrows, 3E bike blvd, 4 cycle track); C for bus route, VZ for Vision Zero; and N for none.

EXHIBIT A 5-YEAR STREET REHABILITATION PLAN FOR FY 2023 TO FY 2027

Fiscal Year	Street Name	From		Class	Treatment (from StreetSaver)	Up	dated Total Cost	District	Equity Zone	Р	Mileage	Current PCI	Last M&R	Last Paved
2026	ADDISON ST	6TH ST	SAN PABLO AVE	R	Reconstruct	\$	1,140,652	2	Y	3E	0.31	16	8/27/1997	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2026	ADDISON ST	SAN PABLO AVE	CURTIS ST	R	Reconstruct	\$	503,880	2	Y	3E	0.14	23	8/18/1997	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2026	DERBY ST	MABEL ST	SACRAMENTO ST	R	Heavy Rehab	\$	456,020	2	Y	3E	0.25	32	10/1/1992	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2026	CHANNING WAY	SAN PABLO AVE	SACRAMENTO	R	Heavy Rehab	\$	914,500	2	Y	3E	0.53	50	9/2/2008	MILL AND THICK OVERLAY
2026	MABEL ST	DWIGHT WAY	PARKER ST	R	Heavy Rehab	\$	236,400	2	Y	3E	0 12	31	9/1/1993	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2026	MABEL ST	PARKER ST	DERBY ST	R	Reconstruct	\$	468,400	2	Ÿ	3E	0.12	21	10/1/1992	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2026	MABEL ST	DERBY ST	WARD ST	R	Heavy Rehab	\$	97,400	2	Y	3E	0.06	33	10/1/1992	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2026	CAMELIA ST	8TH ST	SAN PABLO AVE	R	Reconstruct	\$	697,680	1	Ý	3E	0.20	19	4/1/2001	RECONSTRUCT SURFACE
2026	8TH ST	GILMAN ST	CAMELIA ST	R	Heavy Rehab	\$	212,445	-1	Y	3E	0.12	35	4/1/2001	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2026	8TH ST	CAMELIA ST	PAGE ST	R	Heavy Rehab	\$	144,978	1	Ý	N	0.08	42	4/1/2001	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2026	8TH ST	PAGE ST	JONES ST	R	Reconstruct	\$	293,378	1	Ÿ	<u></u> N	0 09	16	9/1/1991	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2026	8TH ST	JONES ST	VIRGINIA ST	R	Reconstruct	\$	710.367	1	Y	N	0.21	19	9/1/1991	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2026	BATAAN AVE	7TH ST	8TH ST	R	Reconstruct	\$	144,294	1	Ÿ	N N	0.06		N/A	MILE / III O VEILEAT VAI ABING
	CONTINGENCY					\$	1,254,909				- 0.00	† 	110	
							\$7,275,303		1		2.28			-
							65%	bike/ped						
- 1]			79%	bike/ped i	not incl conting	ency				

FISCAL YEAR 2026 TOTALS

Total Estimated Cost and I	Miles				\$7,275,303			2.28	miles
	Mileage	Estimated Cost	% Cost	% Mileage	District	Cost	<u>Miles</u>	Cost w/o Arterials	Miles w/o Arterials
Arterials	0.00	\$0	0%	0%	1	\$2,203,142	0.75	\$2,203,142	0.75
Collectors	0.00	\$0	0%	0%	2	\$3,817,252	1.52	\$3,817,252	1.52
Residentials	2.28	\$6,020,394	100%	100%	3	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
					4	\$0	0.00	\$0	0.00
Bikeways	1 84	\$4,727,377	79%	81%	5	\$0	0 00	\$0	0.00
Curb Ramps		\$246,000	4%		6	\$0	0.00	\$0	0 00
Total		\$4,973,377	83%		7	\$0	0 00	\$0	0.00
					8	\$0	0.00	\$0	0 00
Equity Zone	2.28	\$6,020,394	100%	100%		\$6,020,394	2,28	\$6,020,394	2 28
Equity Zone w/Arterials	2.28	\$6.020.394	100%	100%					

Note: Column P denotes presence of bike facility type (1 paved path, 2A 2B bike lane, 3A sign-only, 3C Sharrows, 3E bike blvd, 4 cycle track), C for bus route, VZ for Vision Zero; and N for none.

EXHIBIT A 5-YEAR STREET REHABILITATION PLAN FOR FY 2023 TO FY 2027

Fiscal Year	Street Name	From	То	Class	Treatment (from StreetSaver)		ated Total Cost	District	Equity Zone	P	Mileage	Current PCI	Last M&R	Last Paved
2027	DERBY ST	COLLEGE AVE	PIEDMONT AVE	R	Heavy Rehab	\$	268,765	- 8	N	3E	0 12	31	8/1/1996	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2027	DERBY ST	PIEDMONT AVE	WARRING ST	R	Heavy Rehab	S	114,903	8	N	3E	0.06		N/A	WILL THE OVEREST VICTORIA
2027*	FOREST AVE	COLLEGE AVE	CLAREMONT BLVD	R	Heavy Rehab	\$	618,000	8	N	N	0.36	45	8/1/1996	RECONSTRUCT STRUCTURE (AC)
2027	HARMON ST	IDAHO ST	SACRAMENTO	R	Reconstruct	\$	829,900	2	Y	3E	0.36	15	9/1/1991	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2027	IDAHO ST	66TH ST	ALCATRAZ AVE	Ř	Reconstruct	\$	547,888	2	Ÿ	3E	0.36	18	5/1/1996	THIN AC OVERLAY
2027	OREGON ST	SAN PABLO AVE	MABEL ST	R	Reconstruct		537,740	2	Ÿ	3E	0.36	18	11/1/1990	MILL AND THIN OVERLAY
2027	HASTE ST	PIEDMONT AVE	COLLEGE AVE	Α	Heavy Rehab		270,400	7	Ň	VZ	0.12	43	8/1/1993	MILL AND OVERLAY WIFABRIC
2027	HASTE ST	COLLEGE AVE	BOWDITCH ST	Α	Heavy Rehab		313,947	7	Ň	VZ	0.13	41	8/1/1993	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2027	HASTE ST	BOWDITCH ST	FULTON ST	Α	Heavy Rehab		,304,756	47	N	VZ	0.10	35	8/1/1993	MILL AND OVERLAY WIFABRIC
2027	HASTE ST	FULTON ST	SHATTUCK AVE	A	Heavy Rehab		241,280	4	- <u>;</u>	VZ	0 11	29		MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2027	EUCLID AVE	BAYVIEW PL	CEDAR ST	С	Heavy Rehab		695,412	6	N	3C, C	0.36	28	11/1/1990	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
2027	- EUCLID AVE	CEDAR ST	HEARST AVE	С	Heavy Rehab	_	614,509	- 6	N	3C, C	0.31	41	11/1/1990	MILL AND OVERLAY W/FABRIC
	CONTINGENCY						917.803			30, 0	0.51		11/1/1350	WILL AND OVERLAT W/FABRIC
							7,275,303				3.14			
		•		L			63%	bike/ped				1		
1		1					73%	bike/ped i	not incl conting	ency .			†	

^{*} in Fiscal Year column denotes coordination with EBMUD project

FISCAL YEAR 2027 TOTALS

Total Estimated Cost and Mi	les				\$7,275,303	•			3 14	miles
	Mileage	Estimated Cost 9	/ Coot	0/ Md====		5			Cost w/o	Miles w/o
Arterials	0.87	\$2,130,383	34%	% Mileage		District	Cost	Miles	<u>Arterials</u>	<u>Arterials</u>
Collectors	0.67	\$1,309,921	21%	28%		1	\$0	0 00	\$0	0.00
Residentials	1.61			21%		2	\$1,915,528	1.07	\$1,915,528	1.07
Residentials	1.01	\$2,917,196	46%	51%		3	\$0	0.00	\$0	0 00
Bikeways	1 92	60.000.447	C70/	2401		4	\$893,658	0.36	\$0	0.00
Curb Ramps	1 92	\$3,609,117	57%	61%		5	\$0	0 00	\$0	0.00
Total		\$654,000	10%			6	\$1,309,921	0.67	\$1,309,921	0 67
Total		\$4,263,117	67%			7	\$1,236,725	0 50	\$0	0.00
Fauty Zana	4.07				_	8_	\$1,001,668	0.54	\$1,001,668	0.54
Equity Zone	1.07	\$1,915,528	30%	34%			\$6,357,500	3 14	\$4,227,117	2.27
Equity Zone w/Arterials	1.07	\$1,915,528	30%	34%						
FISCAL YEAR 2023-2027 TOTALS										
Total Estimated Cost and Mil	les				\$43,158,767				15 94	miles
	A 4 21	5.4.4.0.40							Cost w/o	Miles w/o
Arterials	Mileage	Estimated Cost 9		% Mileage		<u>District</u>	<u>Cost</u>	<u>Mıles</u>	<u>Arterials</u>	<u>Arterials</u>
Collectors	1 18	\$2,915,254	8%	7%		1	\$6,048,572	2.09	\$5,365,456	1.82
	4.06	\$12,273,663	32%	25%		2	\$7,742,220	3 16	\$7,742,220	3.16
Residentials	10.70	\$23,196,396	60%	67%		3	\$4,373,575	1.65	\$4,373,575	1.65
B.11						4	\$4,216,177	1.39	\$3,322,519	1.03
Bikeways	9.61	\$24,869,854	65%	60%		5	\$4,057,199	1.35	\$3,955,444	1.31
Curb Ramps		\$1,782,000	5%			6	\$4,202,743	2.47	\$4,202,743	2.47
Total		\$26,651,854	69%			7	\$4,060,702	1.92	\$2,823,977	1,42
						8	\$3,684,125	1.91	\$3,684,125	1.91
Equity Zone	5.21	\$13,866,514	36%	33%	_		\$38,385,313	15.94	\$35,470,059	14.76
Equity Zone w/Arterials	5.21	\$13,866,514	36%	33%			• •			1 1.10
							Total Eundina C	40 450 70	•	

Total Funding \$43,158,767

Note Column P denotes presence of bike facility type (1 paved path, 2A 2B bike lane, 3A sign-only, 3C Sharrows, 3E bike blvd, 4 cycle track); C for bus route; VZ for Vision Zero; and N for none.

^{*}Proposed bike facilities from 2017 Bike Plan.

City of Berkeley Street Maintenance and Rehabilitation Policy

Section 1. General Policy

It is the policy of the City of Berkeley to maintain our streets in safe, good condition that protects our environment and to properly maintain the existing investment in City assets. Staff will implement a Citywide road resurfacing plan that will ensure street maintenance and repair in a timely manner, reduce long term-replacement costs, and provide for the safe and efficient use of our streets. The users of the street surface in the public right-of-way include powered vehicles, bicycles, transit, and pedestrians. The right-of-way also provides for storm water conveyance and is the location of many public utilities.

The policy requires that a 5-year Street Rehabilitation Plan for the entire City be prepared and adopted biannually in line with the City's budget process. Any changes to the 5-year Plan made in the interim shall be reported to City Council. Streets and their surfacing treatment shall be prioritized using a multi-criteria adaptive planning framework to achieve sustainable, resilient, and integrated solutions for the City's right-of-way and the downstream environments. The criteria shall consider equity, quality of life, safety, opportunities for leadership, resource allocation, environmental impacts, and climate and resilience.

Section 2. Assumptions

This section of the policy defines basic assumptions that inform the goals, objectives, and outcomes of the 5-year plan.

- 1. This policy defines the priorities for managing the road surface infrastructure from curb to curb. This policy does not provide guidance on how to prioritize sidewalks or other infrastructure associated with complete streets planning.
- 2. Streets include arterial, collector, residential, and commercial/industrial streets as defined in Berkeley's General Plan.
- 3. Consistency with the City's General Plan policy of encouraging use of forms of transportation other than automobiles.
- 4. Conformance with the Regional Water Quality Control Board's stormwater permit requirements.
- 5. Support of the City's plans and updates thereto, including the City's Climate Action Plan, Green Infrastructure Plan, Resilience Strategy, Vision Zero Policy and Action Plan, Undergrounding Plan, Complete Streets Policy, Vision 2050 framework, Pedestrian Plan, Transit First Policy, Strategic Transportation Plan, public realm and/or other localized transportation plans, and Bicycle Plan.
- 6. Poorly maintained streets have a disproportionate impact on certain members of the community:
 - a) Low-income residents are more seriously impacted by higher vehicle repair costs than higher income residents;
 - b) Those with mobility or visual impairments face greater challenges of unequal access and safety compared to those without such challenges;
 - c) Bicyclists and pedestrians face greater danger than those driving; and
 - d) Poorly maintained streets in dense, more populous neighborhoods are detrimental to more users than poorly maintained streets in less dense neighborhoods.

- 7. Utility trench and pothole repair work shall be done in accordance with permit conditions, standard details, and/or standard operating procedures adopted by the Public Works Department.
- 8. To the extent practical, the City shall use life cycle cost analysis to evaluate different road surfacing options.
- 9. Runoff from roadways carry pollutants that negatively impact public health, creeks and streams, and the Bay.
- 10. Street trees are valuable part of the landscape, as they sequester carbon, soak up stormwater, improve land values, and add greenery.
- 11. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission requires the use of a Pavement Management Tool (such as StreetSaver). Pavement Management Tools are used to optimize road surface conditions through the use of a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) performance metric.

Section 3. Funding

The Five-year Street Rehabilitation Plan shall identify all available funding and the sources used to deliver the proposed road improvement projects. This shall include Federal, State, County and City funding sources. In the event that the planned projects are not able to achieve the City's desired roadway condition level of service, the Five-year Plan should identify the level of funding and activities needed to expand roadway improvements to achieve the stated goals of this policy. Bond funds shall strive to be used for long-lasting capital improvements (projects with a useful life that meets or exceeds the duration of the bond repayment schedule) or to accelerate road work that will result in long-term cost savings for ratepayers.

Section 4. Specific Policy

The Street Rehabilitation Program shall be based on the following objectives:

1. Planning

- a) The 5-year Street Rehabilitation Plan shall be supported by a 30-year road surfacing projection, where roadway improvement projects are forecast over a long-term planning period. The first five years of the projection will become the first draft of the 5-year Plan.
- b) To the extent financially practical, implementation of the paving plan shall advance plans identified in section 2.5.
- c) Rehabilitation of contiguous sections of roadway, rather than one block at a time, shall be preferred, when feasible.
- d) Tree removals shall only be permitted as a last resort consistent with BMC 12.44.020, with the approval of both the Director of Parks and Waterfront and Director of Public Works. If tree removal is necessary, replacement trees shall be planted where and when feasible in accordance with BMC 12.44.010.

2. Equity

a) The benefits of good infrastructure shall be distributed equitably throughout the entire community regardless of the income, political influence, or demographic characteristics of the

- residents in each area. Equity means that disadvantaged residents with more pressing needs experience benefits sooner than others, as defined by the City within the adopted 5-Year Plan.
- b) A new Equity Zone shall be established. This Zone shall be prioritized to meet an average PCI of 70 sooner than the remainder of the City. This Zone contains historically underserved neighborhoods that have experienced decades of underinvestment, and the residents in this zone experience more pressing needs and receive benefits sooner.
- c) Over the longer term, road surfacing activities shall be planned within Pavement Analysis Zones. A Pavement Analysis Zone shall consist of a logical set of street segments, excluding the arterials, collectors, bus routes, bicycle boulevards and non-representative demonstration projects.
 - a. The department may revise the pavement analysis zone boundaries from time to time, consistent with the other goals of this policy. Any changes to pavement analysis units shall be proposed within the biannually updated 5-year Street Rehabilitation Plan submitted to City Council.
 - b. It shall be the goal of the City to seek parity of street condition between pavement analysis zones, except in regards to the *Equity Zone*.

3. Performance Metrics

- a) The City will strive to maintain all roads within the primary transportation network at a standard no less than the following PCI targets for any stretch of roadway¹:
 - a. Arterial 70,
 - b. Collector 70,
 - c. Bus Routes 70,
 - d. Existing and proposed low-stress bikeway network 70.
 - i. Bikeways shall be surfaced with a treatment that emphasizes smoothness of the road surface.
 - e. Equity Zone- 70.
- b) Funding should be prioritized towards maintenance activities to achieve the goals of item 4.2a.
- c) The biannually updated 5-year plan shall report on these performance metrics, PCI measurements for each street segment in the City, and percent of overall funding dedicated to each of the following: arterials, collectors, bus routes, existing and proposed low-stress bikeway network, equity zone, and residential streets.

4. Dig Once

- a. Street rehabilitation shall conform with a dig once approach. This includes coordinating with sewer, water, electrical, telecom, undergrounding and other activities to minimize the cost and maintain the quality of the street surface.
- b. In order to protect the City's investment on street improvements, the City shall place a moratorium on recently paved streets that prohibits digging through them for up to five years, excluding emergency work².

5. Demonstration Projects and Use of New Technologies

a. To the extent practical, the City shall evaluate the use of permeable pavement, concrete pavement, and other street surface technologies using life cycle cost analysis.

¹ PCI of 70 is the lower threshold of what is considered "Good." Streets that fall below a "good" condition require much more expensive repair process.

² As cited in Berkeley Municipal Code 16.12.030 and documented on the City website

b. The use of new technologies that provide enhanced durability, lower cost, and more environmentally beneficial impacts shall be evaluated and reviewed in the biannually adopted 5 Year Street Rehabilitation Plan.

Section 5. Plan and Policy Development and Update

The plan and policy development shall be as follows:

- 1. Every two years, in line with the City's budgeting process, the 5-year Street Rehabilitation Plan adopted by City Council shall include a funding sufficiency analysis based on the existing deferred maintenance at that point to determine what level of funding is required to maintain our streets in safe, good condition that protects our environment and properly maintains the existing investment in City assets.
- 2. Identify new funding sources such as:
 - a. Heavy vehicles, which have a disproportionate impact on the degradation of paved assets, and
 - b. Transportation Network Company (TNC) vehicles.
- 3. At a minimum, this *Street Maintenance and Rehabilitation Policy* shall be reviewed and adopted by the City Council every five years, with advice of the Public Works and Transportation Commission.